

Ivermectin vs. Lockdown
Dennis Wilson, PhD, MBA
2021-04-26

Ivermectin

Ivermectin is a safe drug: in use by humans for 40 years; 3.2 billion doses administered to human beings. It has been recognized as an essential drug. None of the studies investigating the efficacy of Ivermectin for use against COVID-19 have had any results that would result in questioning the safety of Ivermectin. I repeat, Ivermectin is a safe drug, the only question is whether or not it works against the COVID virus.

Lockdowns

Lockdowns are not safe. Lockdowns result in significant social distress. Lockdowns result in suicides, and the government and the medical establishment probably know with a 95% certainty how many suicides they can expect as a result of implementing a lockdown. These are extra deaths, over and above the deaths that will result from COVID-19.

Ivermectin Initiative

For months now, I have been promoting the prophylactic use of Ivermectin to regain control over the spread of the Virus. Gaining control over the spread of the virus will give us (Canadians) a little extra time and flexibility in progressing with vaccinations. In my initiative I recommend making a three-week supply of an Ivermectin based regime of drugs and supplements (Ivermectin, Zinc, Vitamin D, ... as maybe outlined by authoritative medical practitioners) available to each member of every household in the province. Because these medications are available in tablet and liquid form (no injections required), the distribution could be managed through pharmacies. I recommend a prophylactic dose of Ivermectin be administered to all individuals coming into Canada. It has been shown very well across the globe that you cannot control the virus if you do not curtail the influx at the border. I further recommend a prophylactic dose of Ivermectin for all individuals leaving the country. Many may ask the question, "why give it to people leaving the country?" My quick answer is that those people leaving the country are also quite likely to return to the country, and for me, a little prevention to control what they may pick up while outside the country would be worth the small price to pay. Also, if we intend to be socially responsible people, ourselves, we should be careful with what we might be exporting to other countries.

Lockdowns

Lockdowns are the solution of provincial governments and the Canadian Medical establishment under the direction of the federal government. Lockdowns are intended to flatten the curve and take the pressure off the hospitals so that they may deal with the virus more effectively.

The Choice

The choice is:

1. Implement an initiative using a drug that is absolutely safe, where it is virtually guaranteed (better than 99% certainty) will not result in extra deaths and that in addition has the possibility that it may save lives;

2. Use a social initiative (lockdown) with a likely probability of 95% that it will be the cause of extra deaths (suicides). It will have no direct impact on the survivability of any of the COVID patients. The initiative has failed on at least two precious tries, and the governments and medical establishments are now using it in a desperate attempt to provide the time to vaccinate the entire population.

Initiative	Ivermectin	Lockdown
Safe to use	Yes	Undetermined
Will cause extra deaths	No	Yes
Social cost	Low	High
Potentially – may directly contribute to saving lives	Yes	No
Has been tried	Yes	Yes
Has been successful	Undetermined	Undetermined

(Feel free to expand the analysis. I am interested in all views that may expand our knowledge and understanding)

Our governments (Provincial and Federal) and the Canadian Medical establishment think the lockdown option is the best option in our favour.

What do you think?

Given a choice, or the option to vote on it, I think the Canadian population might choose in favour of trying the Ivermectin option. Which kind of begs the question, “Who are the politicians representing?”